

**CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS
PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT**

EVALUATION RANKING SHEET

RFQ# 12-13-008 – Continuing Architectural/Engineering Services for Professional Fees \$10,000-\$50,000
December 13, 2012
GIS

By signing this form as an Evaluator, I certify that I have no conflict of interest

Signed _____

Dated: _____

Company	Qualifications & Capabilities & Experience of Primary Firm & Personal assigned (Max 75 pts)	Quality depth & scope of response (Max 75 pts)	Successful experience & background in similar services, include familiarity w/local agency requirements (Max 45 pts)	Understanding Scope of Work, approach, methods & technical compliance w/contract (Max 60 pts)	Firm(s) located in CMG (max. 30 pts.)	Firm donate local schools (max. 15 pts)	Total (max. 300 pts.)
IMS Engineers	36	31	16	25			108
RADIS International	36	36	14	19			105
Florida Transportation	50	45	27	43			165
Letter, Perez & Assoc	32	24	12	15			83
Caltran Engineering	35	35	12	21			103
CSA Central	48	53	29	37			167
Premiere Design	46	40	24	38			148
A&P Consulting	50	47	20	33			150
Chen-Moore & Assoc	46	42	27	37			152

Please attach additional Sheets for Comments. Sign and return with evaluation ranking sheet. All evaluation of Proposers should have notes to substantiate the evaluators scoring, both positive and negative.

**CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS
PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT**

EVALUATION RANKING SHEET

RFQ# 12-13-008 – Continuing Architectural/Engineering Services for Professional Fees \$10,000-\$50,000

December 13, 2012

Planning

By signing this form as an Evaluator, I certify that I have no conflict of interest

Signed _____

Dated: _____

Company	Qualifications & Capabilities of Experience of Primary Firm & Personal assigned (Max 75 pts)	Quality depth & scope of response (Max 75 pts)	Successful experience & background in similar services, include familiarity w/local agency requirements (Max 45 pts)	Understanding Scope of Work, approach, methods & technical compliance w/contract (Max 60 pts)	Firm(s) located in CMG (max 30 pts.)	Firm donate local schools (max 15 pts)	Total (max 300 pts.)
R.J. Behar	53	56	30	35			173
IMS Engineers	36	21	16	20			93
G. Batista & Assoc	48	44	22	37			151
Chen-Moore & Assoc	57	61	22	32			172
Florida Transportation	56	62	36	50			204
Caltran Engineering	46	45	23	44			158
The Betajones Group	29	24	16	15			84
CPZ Architects	35	36	17	32			120
CSA Central	31	38	24	37			130
R.E. Chisholm Arch	38	31	23	27			119
Premiere Design	30	35	18	28			111
A&P Consulting	35	37	17	22			111

Please attach additional Sheets for Comments. Sign and return with evaluation ranking sheet. All evaluation of Proposers should have notes to substantiate the evaluators scoring, both positive and negative.

**CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS
PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT**

EVALUATION RANKING SHEET

RFQ# 12-13-008 – Continuing Architectural/Engineering Services for Professional Fees \$10,000-\$50,000
December 13, 2012
Surveying & Map

By signing this form as an Evaluator, I certify that I have no conflict of interest

Signed _____

Dated: _____

Company	Qualifications & Capabilities of Experience of Primary Firm & Personal assigned (Max 75 pts)	Quality depth & scope of response (Max 75 pts)	Successful experience & background in similar services, include familiarity w/local agency requirements (Max 45 pts)	Understanding Scope of Work, approach, methods & technical compliance w/contract (Max 60 pts)	Firm(s) located in CMG (max 30 pts.)	Firm donate local schools (max 15 pts)	Total (max 300 pts.)
R.J. Behar	58	56	35	42			191
IMS Engineers	36	31	16	30			113
Sun Tech Eng.	59	58	29	38			184
G. Batista & Assoc	36	25	17	27			105
CPH Engineers	55	50	35	40			180
Chen-Moore Assoc Florida Transportation	62	59	31	47			199
Leiter, Perez Assoc	47	48	27	48			170
Caltran Eng (map)	48	48	25	30			151
CPZ Architects	22	20	14	18			74
GSA Central	50	51	31	53			185
R.E. Chisholm Arch	63	58	36	47			204
Premier Design	56	50	35	42			183
A&P Consulting	50	45	35	43			173
	62	62	35	51			210

**CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS
PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT**

EVALUATION RANKING SHEET

RFQ# 12-13-008 – Continuing Architectural/Engineering Services for Professional Fees \$10,000-\$50,000

December 13, 2012

Traffic & Transportation Engineer

By signing this form as an Evaluator, I certify that I have no conflict of interest

Signed _____

Dated: _____

Company	Qualifications & Capabilities & Experience of Primary Firm & Personal assigned (Max 75 pts)	Quality depth & scope of response (Max 75 pts)	Successful experience & background in similar services, include familiarity w/local agency requirements (Max 45 pts)	Understanding Scope of Work, approach, methods & technical compliance w/contract (Max 60 pts)	Firm(s) located in CM/G (max 30 pts.)	Firm donate local schools (max 15 pts)	Total (max 300 pts.)
CPH Engineers	56	53	33	42			184
Chen-Moore Assoc	63	64	37	52			216
Cltran Engineering	66	60	39	56			221
R.E. Chisholm Arch	60	58	36	44			198
Premiere Design	48	50	27	38			163
A&P Consulting	68	62	41	51			222.

Please attach additional Sheets for Comments. Sign and return with evaluation ranking sheet. All evaluation of Proposers should have notes to substantiate the evaluators scoring, both positive and negative.

**CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS
PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT**

EVALUATION RANKING SHEET

RFQ# 12-13-008 – Continuing Architectural/Engineering Services for Professional Fees \$10,000-\$50,000

December 13, 2012

Construction Engineer

By signing this form as an Evaluator, I certify that I have no conflict of interest

Signed _____

Dated: _____

Company	Qualifications & Capabilities & Experience of Primary Firm & Personal assigned (Max 75 pts)	Quality depth & scope of response (Max 75 pts)	Successful experience & background in similar services, include familiarity w/local agency requirements (Max 45 pts)	Understanding Scope of Work, approach, methods & technical compliance w/contract (Max 60 pts)	Firm(s) located in CM/G (max 30 pts.)	Firm donate local schools (max 15 pts)	Total (max 300 pts.)
IMS Engineers	53	48	26	39			166
G. Batista & Assoc	60	53	31	46			190
RADIS International	23	22	14	19			78
CPZ Architects	64	68	41	55			228
CSA Central	58	62	38	42			200
Premiere Design	45	45	23	28			141
A&P Consulting	55	56	33	43			187

Please attach additional Sheets for Comments. Sign and return with evaluation ranking sheet. All evaluation of Proposers should have notes to substantiate the evaluators scoring, both positive and negative.

**CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS
PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT**

EVALUATION RANKING SHEET

RFQ# 12-13-008 – Continuing Architectural/Engineering Services for Professional Fees \$10,000-\$50,000

December 13, 2012

Other –Environmental; Fire; Geotechnical; Cost Estimate; Grant Fund; Economic

By signing this form as an Evaluator, I certify that I have no conflict of interest

Signed _____

Dated: _____

Company	Qualifications & Experience of Primary Firm & Personal assigned (Max 75 pts)	Quality depth & scope of response (Max 75 pts)	Successful experience & background in similar services, include familiarity w/local agency requirements (Max 45 pts)	Understanding Scope of Work, approach, methods & technical compliance w/contract (Max 60 pts)	Firm(s) located in CMG (max 30 pts.)	Firm donate local schools (max 15 pts)	Total (max 300 pts.)
R.J. Behar	53	46	32	39			170
JMS Engineers	26	26	16	25			93
Gartek Engineering	54	51	32	48			185
RADIS International	63	56	44	50			213
Chen-Moore	63	64	40	55			222
Florida Transportation	47	45	29	51			172
CPZ Architects	51	51	24	48			174
CSA Central	58	68	34	42			202
R.E. Chisholm	53	41	28	39			161

Please attach additional Sheets for Comments. Sign and return with evaluation ranking sheet. All evaluation of Proposers should have notes to substantiate the evaluators scoring, both positive and negative.

**CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS
PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT**

EVALUATION RANKING SHEET

RFQ# 12-13-008 – Continuing Architectural/Engineering Services for Professional Fees \$10,000-\$50,000
December 13, 2012
Civil Engineer

By signing this form as an Evaluator, I certify that I have no conflict of interest

Signed _____
Dated: _____

Company	Qualifications & Experience of Primary Firm & Personal assigned (Max 75 pts)	Quality depth & scope of response (Max 75 pts)	Successful experience & background in similar services, include familiarity w/local agency requirements (Max 45pts)	Understanding Scope of Work, approach, methods & technical compliance w/contract (Max 60 pts)	Firm(s) located in CMG (max 30 pts.)	Firm donate local schools (max. 15 pts)	Total (max. 300 pts.)
Design2Form	43	40	23	33	30		169
R.J. Behar	60	58	42	48			208
IMS Engineers	56	51	36	40			183
G. Batista & Assoc	60	48	41	51			200
CPH Engineers	66	63	48	48			225
Chen-Moore & Assoc	67	62	48	56			233
Florida Transportation Letter, Perez, Assoc	52	55	42	48			197
The Betalones Group	43	38	30	20			131
M.C. Harry & Assoc	39	34	26	20			119
CPZ Architects	45	41	33	30			149
CSA Central	58	56	46	53			213
R.E. Chisholm Arch	63	63	52	52			230
Premier Design	50	46	37	38			171
A&P Consulting	55	55	38	43			191
	68	65	48	51			232

Please attach additional Sheets for Comments. Sign and return with evaluation ranking sheet. All evaluation of Proposers should have notes to substantiate the evaluators scoring, both positive and negative.

**CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS
PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT**

**EVALUATION RANKING SHEET
RFQ# 12-13-008 – Continuing Architectural/Engineering Services for Professional Fees \$10,000-\$50,000
December 13, 2012
Landscape Architect**

By signing this form as an Evaluator, I certify that I have no conflict of interest

Signed _____

Dated: _____

Company	Qualifications & Experience of Primary Firm & Personal assigned (Max 75 pts)	Quality depth & scope of response (Max 75 pts)	Successful experience & background in similar services, include familiarity w/local agency requirements (Max 45pts)	Understanding Scope of Work, approach, methods & technical compliance w/contract (Max 60 pts)	Firm(s) located in CMG (max. 30 pts.)	Firm donate local schools (max. 15 pts)	Total (max 300 pts.)
Design2Form	38	35	10	32	30		145
G. Batista & Assoc	48	40	26	45			159
CPH Engineers	52	48	27	35			162
CPZ Architects	57	57	35	53			202
R.E. Chisholm Arch	58	49	35	42			184
A&P Consulting	42	52	35	45			174

Please attach additional Sheets for Comments: Sign and return with evaluation ranking sheet. All evaluation of Proposers should have notes to substantiate the evaluators scoring, both positive and negative.

**CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS
PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT**

EVALUATION RANKING SHEET

RFQ# 12-13-008 – Continuing Architectural/Engineering Services for Professional Fees \$10,000-\$50,000
December 13, 2012
Structural Engineer

By signing this form as an Evaluator, I certify that I have no conflict of interest

Signed _____
Dated: _____

Company	Qualifications & Capabilities & Experience of Primary Firm & Personal assigned (Max 75 pts)	Quality depth & scope of response (Max 75 pts)	Successful experience & background in similar services, include familiarity w/local agency requirements (Max 45 pts)	Understanding Scope of Work, approach, methods & technical compliance w/contract (Max 60 pts)	Firm(s) located in CMG (max. 30 pts.)	Firm donate local schools (max. 15 pts)	Total (max. 300 pts.)
IMS Engineers	53	49	25	37			164
ACAI Associates	63	60	37	50			210
G. Batista & Assoc	72	55	42	52			221
Florida Transportation	42	50	23	42			157
M.C. Harry & Assoc	58	43	30	35			166
CPZ Architects	63	63	37	53			216
Premiere Design	50	45	23	33			151
A&P Consulting	31	40	25	40			136

Please attach additional Sheets for Comments. Sign and return with evaluation ranking sheet. All evaluation of Proposers should have notes to substantiate the evaluators scoring, both positive and negative.

**CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS
PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT**

**EVALUATION RANKING SHEET
RFQ# 12-13-008 – Continuing Architectural/Engineering Services for Professional Fees \$10,000-\$50,000
December 13, 2012
Electrical Engineer - MPE**

By signing this form as an Evaluator, I certify that I have no conflict of interest

Signed _____

Dated: _____

Company	Qualifications & Experience of Primary Firm & Personal assigned (Max 75 pts)	Quality depth & scope of response (Max 75 pts)	Successful experience & background in similar services, include familiarity w/local agency requirements (Max 45 pts)	Understanding Scope of Work, approach, methods & technical compliance w/contract (Max 60 pts)	Firm(s) located in CMG (max 30 pts.)	Firm donate local schools (max 15 pts)	Total (max 300 pts.)
Design2Form	48	45	20	35	30		178
IMS Engineers	43	41	18	30			132
ACAI Associates	63	70	42	50			225
Gartek Engineering	60	65	42	55			222
G. Batista & Assoc	64	53	41	46			204
Chen-Moore & Assoc	47	57	27	37			168
M.C. Harry & Assoc	48	38	23	35			144
CPZ Architects	64	63	43	58			228
R.E. Chisholm Arch	48	51	23	32			154
A&P Consulting	52	57	40	45			194

Please attach additional Sheets for Comments. Sign and return with evaluation ranking sheet. All evaluation of Proposers should have notes to substantiate the evaluators scoring, both positive and negative.

**CITY OF MIAMI GARDENS
PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT**

EVALUATION RANKING SHEET

RFQ# 12-13-008 -- Continuing Architectural/Engineering Services for Professional Fees \$10,000-\$50,000

December 13, 2012

Architect

By signing this form as an Evaluator, I certify that I have no conflict of interest

Signed _____

Dated: _____

Company	Qualifications & Experience of Primary Firm & Personal assigned (Max 75 pts)	Quality depth & scope of response (Max 75 pts)	Successful experience & background in similar services, include familiarity w/local agency requirements (Max 45 pts)	Understanding Scope of Work, approach, methods & technical compliance w/contract (Max 60 pts)	Firm(s) located in CMG (max. 30 pts.)	Firm donate local schools (max. 15 pts)	Total (max. 300 pts.)
Design2Form	46	45	20	40	30		181
ACAI Associates	61	70	42	50			223
Ideal Architectural	41	46	25	35			147
G. Batista & Assoc	56	58	28	52			194
CPH Engineers	65	57	37	45			204
Florida Transportation	50	62	33	43			188
M.C. Harry & Assoc	69	63	35	45			212
CPZ Architects	74	71	43	58			246
R.E. Chisholm Arch.	64	61	36	44			205
A&P Consulting	50	54	34	43			181

Please attach additional Sheets for Comments. Sign and return with evaluation ranking sheet. All evaluation of Proposers should have notes to substantiate the evaluators scoring, both positive and negative.